







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFID
09/762,367	04/02/2001	Minoru Myojo		CONFIRMATION NO.
		Millora Myojo	10873.634USW	
	7590 05/06/2003			
	T & GOULD PC			
P.O. BOX 290	•		EXAMI	NER
MINNEAPOL	JIS, MN 55402-0903	·	THI TORNET BOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2875	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		—	_				
		Application No.	Applid s)	iV			
	Advisory Action	09/762,367	MYOJO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	T			
	The MAILING DATE of this community	Peter J Macchiarolo					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE	ars on the cover shet with the	corr spondens ad	<u> </u>			
	final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR RE a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advise event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened so (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montered patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered been conditions.	PLY [check either a) or b)] the final rejection. sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 on and the corresponding amount of the fistatutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply origina	ON FOR ALLOWAI cation. A proper repeated by filed Request for the final rejection, whichever the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. Series. The appropriate extension of (2 tion, even if timely filed, matter appeal).	NCE. ply to a cation in r Continued r is later. In no			
	(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
1	(b) They raise the issue of	consideration and/or search (se	e NOTE helow):				
	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note bel	low);	o TOTE Below),				
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by materi	ially reducing or sim	oplifying the			
	NOTE:	a corresponding number of fina	ally rejected claims.				
;	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	n(s):					
1	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s)	allowable if submitted in a sepa	arate, timely filed ar	mendment			
	application in condition for allowance because: see C	consideration has been conside	red but does NOT r	place the			
	I he affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaus raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	se it is not directed SOLELY to i	SSUES which were n	oudu			
'	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a explanation of how the new or amended claims would			an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	2 in blookiden below 0	appended.				
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected:						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration.			1			
8.[The proposed drawing correction filed on the second	.					
	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(Other:	approved or b) disapprovel PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	d by the Examiner.				
			Sandra O'Shea	inar			
L		<u> </u>	Supervisory Patent Exam	00			
J.S. Pate	nt and Trademark Office	 -	Technology Center 280				
PTO-3(03 (Rev. 04-01)						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive. Ward's HID lamp is analogous art, and further, Ward's fuse member fucntions the same as Applicant's claimed invention.